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## Importance \& Objectives

Importance:

- Useful for rapid approximate calculations for design
- Allow for an increased understanding of the physics of high-speed flows

Objective:

- Find fast accurate analytical solutions for high-speed inviscid flow-fields, eventually relaxing assumptions to predict flow-fields for more complex geometries
- Assessment of current methods is the first step


Figure 1: X-15 hypersonic aircraft (top) [1] and shadowgraph of wind tunnel model (bottom) [2].

## Taylor-Maccoll (1933)

- By definition of conical flow, flow-variables are functions of polar coordinate $(\theta)$ only
- System of ODEs representing an exact solution to inviscid axisymmetric irrotational flow [3]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\left[1-u_{r}^{2}-\left(\frac{d u_{r}}{d \theta}\right)^{2}\right]\left[2 u_{r}+\cot (\theta) \frac{d u_{r}}{d \theta}+\frac{d^{2} u_{r}}{d \theta^{2}}\right] \\
-\frac{d u_{r}}{d \theta}\left[u_{r} \frac{d u_{r}}{d \theta}+\frac{d u_{r}}{d \theta} \frac{d^{2} u_{r}}{d \theta^{2}}\right]=0 \\
u_{\theta}=\frac{d u_{r}}{d \theta}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Stone (1948)

- Flow variables are expressed in a power series in $\alpha$ (angle of attack) [4]. Neglecting higher terms gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =u_{1}+\alpha u_{2} \cos \phi \\
v & =v_{1}+\alpha v_{2} \cos \phi \\
w & =\alpha w_{2} \sin \phi \\
P & =P_{1}+\alpha P_{2} \cos \phi \\
\rho & =\rho_{1}+\alpha \rho_{2} \cos \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

- The following differential equation is solved, where coefficients $A, B$, and $C$ are functions of the Taylor-Maccoll solution and $f$ is a function of $\left(P_{1}, P_{2},, \rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{d^{2} u_{2}}{d \theta^{2}}\right)+\frac{A}{f}\left(\frac{d u_{2}}{d \theta}\right)+\frac{B}{f} u_{2}+C=0
$$

## Savin (1951)



Figure 2: Geometry and coordinate system [5].

## Savin (1951)

- Governing equation in the plane of symmetry (irrotational):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\gamma-1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\hat{V}}{V}\right)^{2}-1\right]\left[\cot (\omega-\delta)\left(1+\frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \omega}\right)-\cot \omega\right.  \tag{1}\\
\left.+\tan (\omega-\delta) \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \omega}+\frac{\csc (\omega-\delta)}{V \sin \omega} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \phi}\right]-\tan (\omega-\delta) \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \omega}=0
\end{array}
$$

- Assume a conical shock with circular cross-sections:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{s}=\left(\omega_{s}\right)_{\phi=0}+\eta(1-\cos \phi) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- This leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\omega_{s}\right)_{\phi=\pi}-\left(\omega_{s}\right)_{\phi=0}=2 \eta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- An expression for $\delta$ in the plane of symmetry is obtained from governing equation by assuming either $\omega-\delta \ll 1$ or $\delta \ll 1$
- Solve a system of nonlinear algebraic equations for $\omega_{s}$ until (3) is satisfied


## Savin's Equations

- Assume $\omega-\delta \ll 1$ or $\delta \ll 1$ only no solution is available with first assumption
- Equation (1) becomes analytically integrable to obtain an equation governs the entire plane of symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{s}=\delta_{s}\left(M_{s}, \delta_{c}, V_{0}, \epsilon\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Mach number after shock $\left(M_{s}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}=\sqrt{\frac{M_{0}^{4} \cdot(\gamma+1)^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{s} \pm \alpha\right)-4\left(M_{0}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{s} \pm \alpha\right)-1\right)\left(M_{0}^{2} \gamma \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{s} \pm \alpha\right)+1\right)}{\left(M_{0}^{2}(\gamma-1) \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{s} \pm \alpha\right)+2\right)\left(2 M_{0}^{2} \gamma \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{s} \pm \alpha\right)-\gamma+1\right)}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Deflection angle after shock $\left(\delta_{s}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{s} \pm \alpha=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cot \left(\omega_{\mathrm{s}} \pm \alpha\right)\left(M_{0}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{s}} \pm \alpha\right)-1\right)}{\frac{\gamma+1}{2} M_{0}^{2}-M_{0}^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{s}} \pm \alpha\right)+1}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Comparison of Methods

|  | Taylor-Maccoll | Stone | Savin |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumptions: | Inviscid | Inviscid | Inviscid |
|  | Axrotational | Velocity components | $\omega-\delta \ll 1$ or $\delta \ll 1$ |
|  |  | vary linearly with $\alpha$ |  |
| Range of Validity: | $\alpha=0^{\circ}$ | Small $\alpha$ | $M_{0} \delta_{c} \geq 1$ |
| Computation: | Numerical integration | Numerical integration | Fully analytical, |
|  |  |  | Numerical root-finding used |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| to solve algebraic system |  |  |  |

## Savin Versus Taylor-Maccoll $\left(\alpha=0^{\circ}\right)$

- Better agreement with higher Mach numbers and cone angles


Figure 3: $\delta_{c}-\omega_{s}-M$ relation.

## Savin Versus Stone First-Order



Figure 4: Cross-stream view 1 m downstream of vertex of shock in Mach 10 flow past $15^{\circ}$ half-angle cone at $3^{\circ}$ angle of attack (left) and $5^{\circ}$ angle of attack (right).

## Savin Versus Stone First-Order



Figure 5: Cross-stream view 1 m downstream of vertex of shock in Mach 7 flow past $7.5^{\circ}$ half-angle cone at $3^{\circ}$ angle of attack (left) and $5^{\circ}$ angle of attack (right).

## Predictions

- Simplicity of Savin's method allows for predictions like the following to be made in seconds with shock-expansion theory:


Figure 6: Near-field shock comparison with experiment for Mach 3 flow past $20^{\circ}$ cone at $8^{\circ}$ angle of attack [7].

## Conclusions

- Savin's method has good agreement with other well-known methods
$\diamond$ Has a maximum error of less than half a degree from the Taylor-Maccoll solution
$\diamond$ Produces similar results to Stone's theory for hypersonic similarity parameters greater than 1
- Future work: make similar assumptions or relax current assumptions to extend current methods to obtain shock shapes and flow-fields for more complex geometries.


## Thank You! Questions?
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## Extra Slides - Savin's Equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{\delta}_{s}=\ln \left[1-M_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)\right]\left[\left(M_{s}\left(M_{s} \tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)-\boldsymbol{\sigma}-1\right)+\tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_{s}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)+M_{s} \sin ^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(M_{s} \tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)+1\right)\right] . \\
& {\left[2 M_{s}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)\left(M_{s} \tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)+1\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_{s}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)\right]^{-1}+} \\
& \sin ^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)\left(\cot \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}\right)-\cot \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left[1-\frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)\left(\csc ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_{s}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)}+\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)+\csc ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_{1}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)\right)}{2}\right]+ \\
& \frac{\ln \left[M_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)+1\right]\left[\left(M_{s}\left(M_{s} \tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)+\boldsymbol{\sigma}+1\right)+\tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_{1}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)-M_{s} \sin ^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left(1-M_{s} \tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)\right)\right]}{2 M_{s}^{2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)\left(1-M_{s} \tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{1}{M_{s}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)} \\
& \frac{\sec ^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right) \tan \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right) \ln \left(\cot \left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}-\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)+1\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)}{M_{s}^{2} \tan ^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{c}\right)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}+2)^{2}-1} \boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{c}} \tag{}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is given by

$$
\sigma= \pm \frac{V_{0}}{V} \epsilon \frac{\sin \omega_{s}}{\sin ^{2} \delta_{c}}
$$

## Extra Slides - Savin's Equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\left[2-\sin ^{2} \omega_{s}\left(1+\sigma \csc ^{2} \delta_{c}\right)\right]^{2}}{\left[2-\left(\sigma+\sin ^{2} \delta_{c}\right)\right]^{2}\left(\frac{\sin ^{2} \omega_{\mathrm{s}}}{\sin \delta_{c}}\left[1+(2+\sigma) M_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \sin ^{2} \delta_{c}-(1+\sigma) M_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \sin ^{2} \omega_{\mathrm{s}}\right]-M_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \sin ^{2} \delta_{c}\right)}\right) \frac{\sigma\left(\sigma+\sin ^{2} \delta_{c}\right)}{2 \sigma-M^{2} \sin ^{2} \delta_{c}\left(4-\sigma^{2}\right)} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## Extra Slides - Savin Method Applicability



